

Introduction:

An existing Chemical Lean calibration model was used to evaluate the performance of the NIT-38 Meat Analyser against a second company's meat samples analysed for CL using the Microwave method.

The first plot shows the relationship between the existing NIR calibration vs the Microwave method. The R2 of .892 is not too bad but the scatter is high and the error is approximately 2.3 units. Our experience is that the two should agree to approximately 1 unit.



Plot of existing NIR Calibration for Chemical Lean vs the Microwave Method

The next two plots show the result of calibrating the NIT-38 Meat Analyser against the Microwave method. The first plot is the original calibration data. I have used the 5 scans saved for each sample. As such there is a considerable amount of scatter. This is to be expected because there is going to be a lot of variation in the sample, as well as sample packing variations. Note the SEC(Standard Error of Calibration) is approximately 1.4 units and the R2 = 0.97. This is very good.

The last plot shows the effect of applying the calibration against the average spectra. The SEC is now 0.75 and the R2 = 0.99.



Plot of NIR vs Microwave Chemical Lean Calibration Data



Plot of NIR vs Microwave Chemical Lean, Prediction Data

NIR Technology Systems 366 Edgar Street, Condell Park, NSW, 2200, Australia Tel: 612 9708 5068, Fax: 612 9708 5537 Email: <u>nirtech@nirtech.net</u>, Web: www.nirtech.net